Previous references.

1903
(Sep: Rev:)

File No. 190 of 1902.
Serial Nos. 142.

Later references.

S. R. 24-2-09
85/-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Major ~~Part~~ B. for Septr: 1903.

SUBJECT.

Claims of the Inamdar of Kayamgutta Gundenahalli Village, Nelamangala Taluk. Bangalore District.

1. From the Excise Commr: in Mysore, No: 4649/282 d 10-2-1903. Submits the record of enquiry regarding the Toddy Revenue of Kayamgutta Village of Gundenahalli.
2. To the Excise Commr: & Comptroller in Mysore. No: 236-7/Ex. T. 16-01 d 10-9-03. Disallowing the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy revenue of the Village

21 2/4

G. R. S. 58

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

B

The Dewan through the 2d Councillor

Office Note.

Branch

Subject Letter No. 4649 d/ 10.2.03, from the 287 Excise Commissioner in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to toddy revenue of the Kayamgutta village of Gundenahalli, Nelamangala Tk.

Submitted.

The Kayamguttadar is not entitled to the toddy revenue as it has not been conceded either by the sanad or by the Inam Settlement; and, on the question of long enjoyment, there is no credible evidence as per findings of the investigating officer.

There is a "Grove Farm" but no Shop in the village.

Subd. with a draft for approval.

24/8/03

Subd. to the 2nd Councillor

I agree

28/8/03

Submitted to the Dewan

12584

Despd 30.8.03

E 291

9027

17 FEB

190.3

FROM

P. N. Purnaya Esqr B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundaya Esqr M.A. M.L.
Secretary to Govt.
General & Revenue
Departments

Dated 10th February/03.

Despatched 13 - do

Received

General No. 4649
No. 282

Enclosure No. 1 of [illegible]

Submits with his opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Kayangutta Gundenahalli village, Nelamangla taluk.

[illegible]
17.2.03

No 4649/282 Exc file Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 10th/13 February 1903

From

P. N. Purnayya Esquire, B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A. M.L.
Secretary to Government
Genl & Rev: Departments

Sir,

In ~~submitting~~ submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Kayanguttha Gundenahalli village, Nelamangala taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating Officer's finding that the Kayangutthadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. Accordingly only 1/5th of the rent of the grove farm is payable to him under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No 2074-83, dated 2nd August 1901.
R.F. 166-91

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

for Excise Commissioner
SO
in Mysore

Case 2011:

Two persons appear viz,

1. Sreenivasa Murthy
2. Khrislakka

that forward their claim to Joddy Revenue.

Both of them state that all the evidence they had ~~as~~ has already been recorded and that they cannot produce anything more.

23/1

Decision

1. The Inam is not entitled to Joddy Revenue, such right not being granted by Sannad & not having been registered by the Inam ~~title deed~~ Settlement Register.

2. The evidence regarding enjoyment is unreliable.

3. The case of the Inam falls under paras 4, (6 2 1) of the last Proceedings relating to the subject.

Orders accordingly

16/1

A Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by Mr. Dw Narasinga Rao Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Taluk	Hobli	Village	Name of Inamdar	Documents ~~adduced~~ relied upon	Witnesses examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Nelamangala	Doddabelle	Kagampatta Gendanahalli	Krishnappa	Inam settlement Register	Krishnappa [illegible]	The Inamdar is not entitled to Toddy revenue. The case falls under paras 4, 16 & 17 of the Govt pro: No. 2074-83 R.7 166-92 (?) 2.8.01	3 | 13 | 2	

D. Camp [illegible]
29th January [illegible]

[illegible]
Assistant Commr
Bangalore [illegible]

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat
Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Mahar
of Mysore.

Read :

Letter No. $\frac{4649}{282}$ d 10.2.03.
from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy revenue of the Kayamgutta village of Gundenhalli, Nelamangala Tk.

Order: $\frac{236-7}{247/601}$ dt 10/9/03.

The Kayamgutladar is not entitled to the toddy revenue ~~which~~ has not been conceded either by the Sannad or by the Inam Settlement, and which is not supported by enjoyment.

28/9/03

2. A refund bill for the amount awarded by the investigating officer may be submitted after verification by the Excise Commissioner.

On 83
[illegible]
30. VIII. 03
[illegible]
49

H [illegible]

Secretary

Issued 24/9

To. The Excise Commissioner in Mysore
returning the records
The Comptroller, Mysore [illegible]

19th 7/c

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Excise, dated 10th September 1903.

READ—

Letter No. 4649—282, dated 10th February 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy revenue of the Kayamgutta village of Gundenahalli, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore District.

No. 236-7—Ex. F. 16-01, DATED BANGALORE, 10TH SEPTEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Kayamguttadar is not entitled to the toddy revenue, which has not been conceded either by the sannad or by the Inam settlement, and which is not supported by enjoyment.

2. A refund bill for the amount awarded by the investigating officer may be submitted after its verification by the Excise Commissioner.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C.V.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 10th September 1903

No. $\frac{\text{236-7}}{\text{Ex. F. 16-01}}$

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdar of Gundonahalli, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore District, to the toddy revenue of the village.

SBP—16-9-03—50